



ISSN: 2820-7114

# Moroccan Journal of Algebra and Geometry with Applications

Supported by Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Fez, Morocco

Volume 3, Issue 1 (2024), pp 170-177

**Title :**

**Absorbing ideals of the form  $\$I[[X]]\$$**

**Author(s):**

**Sana Hizem**

## Absorbing ideals of the form $I[[X]]$

Sana Hizem

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Monastir, Tunisia  
e-mail: hizems@yahoo.fr

Communicated by Aymane Badawi

(Received 24 August 2023, Revised 30 October 2023, Accepted 03 November 2023)

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $n$  a positive integer. In [1], Anderson and Badawi define a proper ideal  $I$  of a commutative ring  $R$  to be  $n$ -absorbing if whenever  $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ , then there are  $n$  of the  $x_i$ 's whose product is in  $I$ . In this paper we investigate the transfer of the property  $n$ -absorbing from the ideal  $I$  of  $R$  to the ideal  $I[[X]]$  of the formal power series ring  $R[[X]]$ .

**Key Words:** absorbing ideals, strongly absorbing ideals, formal power series rings.

**2010 MSC:** Primary 13A15; 13F25; 13F05; Secondary 13A99.

### 1 Introduction

All rings considered in this paper are commutative with an identity different from zero. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $n$  be a positive integer. In [1], Anderson and Badawi define a proper ideal  $I$  of a commutative ring  $R$  to be  $n$ -absorbing if whenever  $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ , then there are  $n$  of the  $x_i$ 's whose product is in  $I$ . They also define  $\omega_R(I) = \min\{n \mid I \text{ is an } n\text{-absorbing ideal of } R\}$ . The ideal  $I$  is called strongly  $n$ -absorbing if whenever  $I_1 \dots I_{n+1} \in I$  for ideals  $I_1, \dots, I_{n+1}$  of  $R$ , then there are  $n$  of the  $I_i$ 's whose product is in  $I$ . They define  $\omega_R^*(I) = \min\{n \mid I \text{ is a strongly } n\text{-absorbing ideal of } R\}$ . It is clear that if  $I$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing, then it is  $n$ -absorbing, so  $\omega_R(I) \leq \omega_R^*(I)$ . They conjecture that the converse is true (Conjecture 1). It is clear that for  $n = 1$ , an ideal  $I$  is (strongly) 1-absorbing if and only if  $I$  is a prime ideal so Conjecture 1 is true for  $n = 1$ . Note that for  $n = 2$ , an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is strongly 2-absorbing if and only if  $I$  is 2-absorbing [ [4], Theorem 2.13]. Note also that in Prüfer domains the two concepts of  $n$ -absorbing and strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideals are equivalent. On the other hand, they conjecture that  $\omega_{R[[X]]}(I[[X]]) = \omega_R(I)$  for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$  (Conjecture 3). A 1-absorbing ideal is just a prime ideal and it is well known that  $I$  is a prime ideal if and only if  $I[[X]]$  is a prime ideal so Conjecture 3 is true for  $n = 1$ . In [1], the authors proved that Conjecture 3 is true for  $n = 2$ . Many authors investigated this conjecture. For example in [14], the author showed that Conjecture 3 is true if one of the following conditions hold:

- (1) The ring  $R$  is a Prüfer domain.
- (2) The ring  $R$  is a Gaussian ring such that its additive group is torsion free.
- (3) The additive group of the ring  $R$  is torsion-free and  $I$  is a radical ideal of  $R$ .

In [13], the author proved that if  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  and  $R/I$  is Armendariz, then  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing ( $R$  is said to be Armendariz, if  $c(f)c(g) = 0$  for all  $f, g \in R[[X]]$  such that  $fg = 0$ ). Moreover, he proved that if  $I$  is  $n$ -absorbing, then  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing in each of the following cases:

- (1) The ring  $R/I$  is Armendariz and  $|R/M| \geq n$  for each maximal ideal  $M$  of  $R$  containing  $I$ .
- (2) The ring  $R/I$  is Armendariz and is  $(n - 1)!$ -torsion-free as an additive group.
- (3) The ring  $R/I$  is torsion-free as an additive group.

(4) The ring  $R/I$  is locally Bézout.

He showed also that Conjecture 3 is true in an arithmetical ring.

In this paper, we consider  $n$ -absorbing ideals of the form  $I[[X]]$  of the power series ring  $R[[X]]$ . More precisely we explore the transfer of the property (strongly)  $n$ -absorbing from an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  to the ideal  $I[[X]]$  of  $R[[X]]$ . The case  $n = 1$  is clear since it is well known that an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is prime if and only if the ideal  $I[[X]]$  is prime. In [10], the authors proved that for an ideal  $I$  of a commutative ring  $R$ ,  $I$  is 2-absorbing if and only if  $I[[X]]$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R[[X]]$  (see also [13]). It was also shown in [10] that if  $R$  is a Prüfer domain, then  $I$  is  $n$ -absorbing if and only if  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing. The proof was based on the characterization of absorbing ideals in Prüfer domains. In addition, they showed that if  $R$  is a Noetherian Gaussian u-ring, then  $I$  is  $n$ -absorbing if and only if  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing (a commutative ring  $R$  is called u-ring provided  $R$  has the property that an ideal contained in a finite union of ideals must be contained in one of those ideals). Moreover, they proved that if  $R$  is a pseudo-valuation domain and  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  with a non maximal radical, then  $\omega_{R[[X]]}(I[[X]]) = \omega_R(I)$ . On the other hand, in [14], the author proved that for a Dedekind domain  $R$ ,  $\omega_{R[[X]]}(I[[X]]) = \omega_R(I)$  for every ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Moreover, if  $R$  is a Noetherian ring whose additive group is torsion-free, then  $\omega_{R[[X]]}(I[[X]]) = \omega_R(I)$  for every radical ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .

In this paper we prove first that if the ideal  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing, then the ideal  $I$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing. Conversely, we prove that if the ideal  $I$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing, then the ideal  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing if one of the following conditions hold:

- (1) The ring  $R$  is P-gaussian.
- (2) The ring  $R$  is a Krull domain and  $I$  is a divisorial ideal.
- (3) The ring  $R$  is a formally integrally closed domain and  $I$  is a t-ideal.

Most of the results proved here are based on content formulas for power series.

On the other hand, we prove that if the ideal  $I$  is  $n$ -absorbing, then  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing if one of the following conditions hold:

- (1) The ideal  $I$  is radical.
- (2) The ring  $R$  is a Krull domain and  $I$  is of the form  $(P_1 \dots P_n)_v$  where the  $P_i$  are height one prime ideals of  $R$ .
- (3) The ideal  $I$  has exactly  $n$  minimal prime ideals which are comaximal.
- (4) The ideal  $I$  is a  $P$ -primary ideal where  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ .

## 2 Absorbing ideals of the form $I[X]$

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $n$  a positive integer and  $I$  a proper ideal of  $R$ . In [13], Laradji showed that if  $I[X]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X]$ , then  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ . We present here another proof which is completely different and which may be of independent interest, so we include it below.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $n$  a positive integer and  $I$  a proper ideal of  $R$  such that  $I[X]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X]$  then  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* By [[6], Lemma 2.1], let  $I_1, \dots, I_{n+1}$  ( $n + 1$ ) finitely generated ideals of  $R$  such that  $I_1 \dots I_{n+1} \subset I$ . We shall prove that there are  $n$  of the  $I_i$ 's whose product is in  $I$ . For  $j \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$ , put  $I_j = \langle a_{1,j}; \dots; a_{k_j,j} \rangle$  and let  $f_1 = a_{1,1}X + \dots + a_{k_1,1}X^{k_1} \in I_1[X]$ ,  $f_2 = a_{1,2}X^{k_1} + a_{2,2}X^{2k_1} + \dots + a_{k_2,2}X^{k_1 k_2} \in I_2[X], \dots, f_{n+1} = a_{1,n+1}X^{k_1(k_2+1)\dots(k_n+1)} + \dots + a_{k_{n+1},n+1}X^{k_1 k_{n+1}(k_2+1)\dots(k_n+1)} \in I_{n+1}[X]$ , then  $f_1 \dots f_{n+1} \in I_1[X] \dots I_{n+1}[X] \subset (I_1 \dots I_{n+1})[X] \subset I[X]$ . Hence there are  $n$  of the  $f_i$ 's whose product is in  $I[X]$ . Suppose for example that  $f_1 \dots f_n \in I[X]$ , thus  $a_{l_1,1} \dots a_{l_n,n} \in I$ , for every  $1 \leq l_i \leq k_i$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Hence,  $I_1 \dots I_n \subset I$ .  $\square$

In the sequel, we will prove that for some class of rings, we have the equivalence:  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $I[X]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X]$  and so  $\omega_{R[X]}(I[X]) = \omega_R^*(I)$ . Recall that a commutative ring  $R$  is called Gaussian if  $c(fg) = c(f)c(g)$  for all  $f, g \in R[X]$ , where  $c(f)$  denotes the content of the polynomial  $f \in R[X]$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be Gaussian ring,  $n$  a positive integer and  $I$  a proper ideal of  $R$ . The ideal  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $I[X]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X]$ . Hence  $\omega_{R[X]}(I[X]) = \omega_R^*(I)$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that if  $I$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing, then  $I[X]$  is  $n$ -absorbing. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_{n+1} \in R[X]$  such that  $f_1 \dots f_{n+1} \in I[X]$  then  $c(f_1 \dots f_{n+1}) \subset I$ . As  $R$  is a Gaussian ring then  $c(f_1) \dots c(f_{n+1}) \subset I$ . Since  $I$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing, there are  $n$  of the  $c(f_i)$ 's whose product is contained in  $I$ . But  $f_1 \dots f_n \in c(f_1 \dots f_n)[X] \subset c(f_1) \dots c(f_n)[X] \subset I[X]$ .  $\square$

In [14], the author proved that if  $I$  is a radical  $n$ -absorbing ideal and the additive group of the ring  $R$  is torsion-free, then  $I[X]$  is  $n$ -absorbing. In [11], the authors proved that if the ring  $R$  satisfies (\*\*) (that is each proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  with  $\omega_R(I) < \infty$ ,  $\omega_R(I) = |Min_R(I)|$ , where  $Min_R(I)$  denotes the set of prime ideals of  $R$  minimal over  $I$ ), then if  $I$  is a radical  $n$ -absorbing ideal, then  $I[X]$  is  $n$ -absorbing. Note that for a radical strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal  $I$ , the ideal  $I[X]$  is  $n$ -absorbing (without any additional assumption on the ring  $R$ ) by the Dedekind-Mertens lemma. In the following proposition, we generalize the results of [14] and [11] by releasing the additional assumption on the ring  $R$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $I$  be a proper radical ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  and  $n$  a positive integer. The following are equivalent:*

1.  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .
2.  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .
3.  $I[X]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X]$ .
4.  $I[X]$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X]$ .
5.  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I[X_1, \dots, X_k]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ .
6.  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I[X_1, \dots, X_k]$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ .

*Proof.*  $1 \implies 2$  is clear.

$2 \implies 3$  Since  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  then  $|Min_R(I)| \leq n$  by [[1], Theorem 2.5]. Let  $P_1, \dots, P_k$  the minimal prime ideals over  $I$ . Hence  $I = \sqrt{I} = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_k$ . Therefore  $I[X] = P_1[X] \cap \dots \cap P_k[X]$ . By [[1], Theorem 2.1],  $I[X]$  is  $k$ -absorbing so it is also  $n$ -absorbing.

$3 \implies 1$  is clear.

The other equivalences result from the equality  $\sqrt{I[X]} = \sqrt{I}[X]$ , so since  $I$  is radical then  $I[X]$  is also radical and then use an induction on  $k \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Since every ideal of a von Neumann regular ring is radical, we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a von Neumann regular ring,  $n$  a positive integer and  $I$  a proper ideal of  $R$ . The following are equivalent:*

1.  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .

2.  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .
3.  $I[X]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X]$ .
4.  $I[X]$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X]$ .
5.  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I[X_1, \dots, X_k]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ .
6.  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I[X_1, \dots, X_k]$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ .

Recall that an ideal  $I$  of an integral domain  $R$  with quotient field  $K$  is called divisorial (or  $v$ -ideal) if  $I = I_v$ , where  $I_v = (I^{-1})^{-1}$  and  $I^{-1} = R : I = \{x \in K \mid xI \subset R\}$ . In the sequel we prove that if  $I$  is a divisorial strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of an integrally closed domain  $R$ , then  $I[X]$  is  $n$ -absorbing.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be an integrally closed domain. For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in R[X]$ ,  $(c(f_1 \dots f_m))_v = (c(f_1) \dots c(f_m))_v$ .*

*Proof.* By [[15], Lemme 1], if  $R$  is an integrally closed domain, then for every  $f, g \in R[X]$ ,  $(c(fg))_v = (c(f)c(g))_v$ , hence the result is obtained by a simple induction on  $m$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $R$  be an integrally closed domain,  $I$  a divisorial ideal of  $R$  and  $n$  a positive integer. Then  $I$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing if and only if  $I[X]$  is  $n$ -absorbing. Hence  $\omega_{R[X]}(I[X]) = \omega_R^*(I)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f_1, \dots, f_{n+1} \in R[X]$  such that  $f_1 \dots f_{n+1} \in I[X]$  then  $c(f_1 \dots f_{n+1}) \subset I$ . Hence  $(c(f_1 \dots f_{n+1}))_v \subset I_v = I$ . As  $R$  is integrally closed then  $(c(f_1 \dots f_{n+1}))_v = c(f_1)_v \dots c(f_{n+1})_v$ . Therefore  $c(f_1) \dots c(f_{n+1}) \subset I$ . Since  $I$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing then there are  $n$  of the  $c(f_i)$ 's whose product is in  $I$ . Suppose for example that  $c(f_1) \dots c(f_n) \subset I$ . Consequently,  $f_1 \dots f_n \in c(f_1 \dots f_n)[X] \subset c(f_1) \dots c(f_n)[X] \subset I[X]$ .  $\square$

### 3 Absorbing ideals of the form $I[[X]]$

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $I$  a proper ideal of  $R$  and  $n$  a positive integer. It is clear that if  $I[[X]]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[[X]]$ , then  $I[X]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[X]$  and so  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ . In fact, let  $f_1, \dots, f_{n+1} \in R[X]$  such that  $f_1 \dots f_{n+1} \in I[X]$  then  $f_1 \dots f_{n+1} \in I[[X]]$  so there are  $n$  of the  $f_i$ 's whose product is in  $I[[X]] \cap R[X] = I[X]$ .

Note that for a Noetherian ring  $R$ , if  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing radical ideal, then  $I[[X]]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal. In fact, recall first that in [7], the authors established the following Dedekind-Mertens lemma for power series rings:

**Proposition 3.1.** [7] *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and let  $0 \neq g \in R[[X]]$ . There exists a positive number  $k$  such that  $c(f)^k c(g) = c(f)^{k-1} c(fg)$  for any  $f \in R[[X]]$ , where  $c(f)$  is the ideal of  $R$  generated by the coefficients of  $f$ .*

Using this result, we prove that if  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing radical ideal of a Noetherian ring  $R$ , then  $I[[X]]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal. Indeed, let  $f_1, \dots, f_{n+1} \in R[[X]]$  such that  $f_1 \dots f_{n+1} \in I[[X]]$  then  $c(f_1 \dots f_{n+1}) \subset I$ . By the Dedekind-Mertens lemma there exist positive integers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $c(f_1)^{\alpha_1+1} c(f_2 \dots f_{n+1}) = c(f_1)^{\alpha_1} c(f_1 \dots f_{n+1}) \subset I$ ,  $c(f_2)^{\alpha_2+1} c(f_3 \dots f_{n+1}) = c(f_2)^{\alpha_2} c(f_2 \dots f_{n+1})$ , ...,  $c(f_n)^{\alpha_n+1} c(f_{n+1}) = c(f_n)^{\alpha_n} c(f_n f_{n+1})$ . Now, we multiply the first equality by  $c(f_2)^{\alpha_2}$ , we get  $c(f_1)^{\alpha_1+1} c(f_2)^{\alpha_2+1} c(f_3 \dots f_{n+1}) \subset I$ . Continuing this process, we get  $c(f_1)^{\alpha_1+1} \dots c(f_n)^{\alpha_n+1} c(f_{n+1}) \subset I$ . As  $I$  strongly  $n$ -absorbing then there exists  $(k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$  such that  $k_1 + \dots + k_{n+1} = n$  and  $c(f_1)^{k_1} \dots c(f_n)^{k_n} c(f_{n+1})^{k_{n+1}} \subset I$ . Suppose for example that  $k_{n+1} = 0$ , so  $c(f_1)^{k_1} \dots c(f_n)^{k_n} \subset I$ . Since  $I$  is radical then  $c(f_1) \dots c(f_n) \subset I$ . But  $f_1 \dots f_n \in c(f_1 \dots f_n)[[X]] \subset c(f_1) \dots c(f_n)[[X]] \subset I[[X]]$ .

In the sequel we prove that the hypothesis  $R$  is Noetherian can be released. More precisely we show that if  $I$  is a radical  $n$ -absorbing ideal of a commutative ring  $R$ , then  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing.

More generally, in the first part of this section, we prove that if  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ , then  $I[[X]]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[[X]]$  if one of the following conditions hold:

1. The ideal  $I$  is radical.
2. The ring  $R$  is a Krull domain and  $I$  is of the form  $(P_1 \dots P_n)_v$  where the  $P_i$  are height one prime ideals of  $R$ .
3. The ideal  $I$  has exactly  $n$  minimal prime ideals which are comaximal.
4. The ideal  $I$  is a  $P$ -primary ideal where  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ .

In the next proposition we generalize Corollary 16 of [14] for any commutative ring  $R$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $I$  be a proper radical ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  and  $n$  a positive integer. The following are equivalent:*

1.  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .
2.  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .
3.  $I[[X]]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[[X]]$ .
4.  $I[[X]]$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[[X]]$ .
5.  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I[[X_1, \dots, X_k]]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_k]]$ .
6.  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I[[X_1, \dots, X_k]]$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_k]]$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the case of polynomial rings. For the sake of completeness, we include it here.

$1 \implies 2$  is clear.

$2 \implies 3$  Since  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  then  $|Min_R(I)| \leq n$  by [[1], Theorem 2.5]. Let  $P_1, \dots, P_k$  the minimal prime ideals over  $I$ . Hence  $I = \sqrt{I} = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_k$ . Therefore  $I[[X]] = P_1[[X]] \cap \dots \cap P_k[[X]]$ . By [[1], Theorem 2.1],  $I[[X]]$  is  $k$ -absorbing so it is also  $n$ -absorbing.

$3 \implies 1$  is clear.

The other equivalences result from the equality  $\sqrt{I[[X]]} = \sqrt{I}[[X]]$ . In fact,  $\sqrt{I[[X]]} \subset \sqrt{I}[[X]]$  for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , since if  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  containing  $I$ , then  $P[[X]]$  is a prime ideal of  $R[[X]]$  containing  $I[[X]]$ , so  $\sqrt{I[[X]]} \subset P[[X]]$  for any prime ideal  $P$  containing  $I$  which implies that  $\sqrt{I[[X]]} \subset \sqrt{I}[[X]]$ . Conversely, if  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ , then by [5],  $(\sqrt{I})^n \subset I$  so  $(\sqrt{I}[[X]])^n \subset (\sqrt{I})^n[[X]] \subset I[[X]]$ , which implies that  $\sqrt{I}[[X]] \subset \sqrt{I[[X]]}$  and then the equality  $\sqrt{I[[X]]} = \sqrt{I}[[X]]$ .

Now since  $I$  is radical then  $I[[X]]$  is also radical and then use an induction on  $k \geq 1$ . □

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a von Neumann regular ring,  $n$  a positive integer and  $I$  a proper ideal of  $R$ . The following are equivalent:*

1.  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .
2.  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .
3.  $I[[X]]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[[X]]$ .
4.  $I[[X]]$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[[X]]$ .
5.  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I[[X_1, \dots, X_k]]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_k]]$ .



6.  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I[[X_1, \dots, X_k]]$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_k]]$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $R$  be a Krull domain,  $n$  a positive integer and  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  be height one prime ideals of  $R$  and  $I = (P_1 \dots P_n)_v$  then  $I[[X]]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[[X]]$ . Hence  $\omega_{R[[X]]}(I[[X]]) = \omega_R(I)$ .

*Proof.* Note that, by [[1], Corollary 4.5], the ideal  $I$  is  $n$ -absorbing. We have  $I[[X]] = ((P_1 \dots P_n)[[X]])_v = ((P_1 \dots P_n).A[[X]])_v = ((P_1.A[[X]]) \dots (P_n.A[[X]]))_v$ . So  $I[[X]] = ((P_1.A[[X]])_v \dots (P_n.A[[X]])_v)_v = ((P_1[[X]])_v \dots (P_n[[X]])_v)_v = (P_1[[X]] \dots P_n[[X]])_v$ .

By [9],  $R[[X]]$  is also a Krull domain and for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $P_k[[X]]$  is a height one prime ideal of  $R[[X]]$ . Hence by [[1], Corollary 4.5], the ideal  $I$  is  $n$ -absorbing.  $\square$

In the following, we give two cases where the property  $n$ -absorbing is stable when passing from  $I$  to the ideal  $I[[X]]$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $I$  be an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of a ring  $R$  such that  $I$  has exactly  $n$  minimal prime ideals which are comaximal then  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing. Hence  $\omega_{R[[X]]}(I[[X]]) = \omega_R(I)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$  be the minimal prime ideals over  $I$ . By [[1], Corollary 2.15],  $I = P_1 \dots P_n = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n$ , so  $P[[X]] = P_1[[X]] \cap \dots \cap P_n[[X]]$ . Again by Theorem 2.1 of [1], the ideal  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of a ring  $R$  and  $I$  be a primary ideal of  $R$  such that  $P^n \subset I$  then  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing. Hence  $\omega_{R[[X]]}(I[[X]]) = \omega_R(I)$ .

In particular if  $P^n$  is a  $P$ -primary ideal of  $R$ , then  $P^n[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing. Moreover, if  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ , then  $M^n[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing.

*Proof.* By [[1], Theorem 3.1], the ideal  $I$  is  $n$ -absorbing. By [[8], Corollary 4],  $I[[X]]$  is a  $P[[X]]$ -primary ideal of  $R[[X]]$  and  $(P[[X]])^n \subset P^n[[X]] \subset I[[X]]$ . So again by [[1], Theorem 3.1], the ideal  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing.  $\square$

In the sequel, we prove that if  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ , then  $I[[X]]$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R[[X]]$  if one of the following conditions hold:

1. The ring  $R$  is P-Gaussian.
2. The ring  $R$  is a Krull domain and  $I$  is a divisorial ideal.
3. The ring  $R$  is a formally integrally closed domain and  $I$  is a t-ideal.

Recall from [16], that a commutative ring  $R$  is called P-Gaussian if for every  $f, g \in R[[X]]$ ,  $c(fg) = c(f)c(g)$ . For example a Noetherian Gaussian ring is P-Gaussian.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $R$  be a P-Gaussian ring,  $n$  a positive integer and  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing if and only if  $I$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing. Hence  $\omega_{R[[X]]}(I[[X]]) = \omega_R^*(I)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_1, \dots, f_{n+1} \in R[[X]]$  such that  $f_1 \dots f_{n+1} \in I[[X]]$  then  $c(f_1 \dots f_{n+1}) \subset I$ . As  $R$  is a P-Gaussian ring then  $c(f_1) \dots c(f_{n+1}) \subset I$ . Since  $I$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing then  $c(f_1) \dots c(f_n) \subset I$  for example. But  $f_1 \dots f_n \in c(f_1 \dots f_n)[[X]] \subset c(f_1) \dots c(f_n)[[X]] \subset I[[X]]$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain such that  $R = \bigcap_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$  where  $(V_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$  is a collection of rank one valuation overrings of  $R$  and  $I$  a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal such that  $I = \bigcap_{\alpha} IV_{\alpha}$  then  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing.

In particular, if  $R$  is a Krull domain and  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing divisorial ideal, then  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing. Hence  $\omega_{R[[X]]}(I[[X]]) = \omega_R^*(I)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the star operation  $*$  defined by  $E^* = \bigcap IV_\alpha$ , for every nonzero fractional ideal of  $R$ . By [[2], Theorem 2.5] for nonzero  $f, g \in R[[X]]$ ,  $(c(fg))^* = (c(f)c(g))^*$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_{n+1} \in R[[X]]$  such that  $f_1 \dots f_{n+1} \in I[[X]]$  then  $c(f_1 \dots f_{n+1}) \subset I$ . Hence  $c(f_1) \dots c(f_n) \subset (c(f_1) \dots c(f_n))^* = (c(f_1 \dots f_n))^* \subset I^* = I$ . Now the result follows from the fact that  $I$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing.  $\square$

Now we can recover Corollary 11 of [14] since a Dedekind domain is a Krull domain in which every ideal is divisorial. Moreover a Dedekind domain is a Prüfer domain so by [[1], Corollary 6.9], every  $n$ -absorbing ideal is strongly  $n$ -absorbing.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain, then  $\omega_{R[[X]]}(I[[X]]) = \omega_R(I)$ .*

More generally if  $R$  is a completely integrally closed domain and  $I$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing divisorial ideal, then  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing by [[12], Theorem 2.11].

Recall from [3], that an integral domain  $R$  is called formally integrally closed if for nonzero  $f, g \in R[[X]]$ ,  $(c(fg))_t = (c(f)c(g))_t$ , where  $I_t = \cup \{J_v \mid J \text{ is a finitely generated non zero fractional ideal of } R \text{ such that } J \subset I\}$ , for every non zero fractional ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . A nonzero fractional ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called a  $t$ -ideal if  $I_t = I$ . Integral domains  $R$  such that  $R_M$  is a one dimensional valuation domain for every  $t$ -maximal ideal of  $R$  are examples of formally integrally closed domains. We get then the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $R$  be a formally integrally closed domain,  $n$  a positive integer and  $I$  a strongly  $n$ -absorbing  $t$ -ideal then  $I[[X]]$  is  $n$ -absorbing. Hence  $\omega_{R[[X]]}(I[[X]]) = \omega_R^*(I)$ .*

## References

- [1] Anderson, D.F., Badawi, A., On  $n$ -absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Comm. Algebra*, 39, 1646 – 1672 (2011)
- [2] Anderson, D.D., Kang, B.G., Content formulas for polynomials and power series and complete integral closure, *J. Algebra*, 181, 82 – 94 (1996)
- [3] Anderson, D.D., Kang, B.G., Formally integrally closed domains and the rings  $R((X))$  and  $R\{\{X\}\}$ , *J. Algebra*, 200, 347 – 362 (1998)
- [4] Badawi, A., On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 75, 417 – 429 (2007)
- [5] Choi, H.S., Walker, A., The radical of an  $n$ -absorbing ideal, *J. Commut. Algebra*, 12 (2), 171 – 177 (2020)
- [6] Donadze, G., The Anderson-Badawi conjecture for commutative algebras over infinite fields. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 47 (4), 691 – 696 (2016)
- [7] Epstein, N., Shapiro, J., A Dedekind-Mertens theorem for power series rings, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 144 (3), 917 – 924 (2016)
- [8] Fields, D.E., Zero divisors and nilpotent elements in power series rings, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 3 (27), 427 – 433 (1971)
- [9] Gilmer, R. Power series rings over a Krull domain. *Pac. J. Math.*, 29, 543 – 549 (1969)
- [10] Hizem, S, Smach, S., On Anderson-Badawi conjectures, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.*, 58 (4), 775 – 785 (2017)



- [11] Issoual, M., Mahdou, N., Moutui, M.A.S., On  $n$ -absorbing prime ideals of commutative rings, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, 51 (2), 455 – 465 (2022)
- [12] Kang, B.G., Park, M.H., Toan, P.T., Dedekind-Mertens lemma and content formulas in power series rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 222, 2299 – 2309 (2018)
- [13] Laradji, A., On  $n$ -absorbing rings and ideals. *Colloq. Math.*, 147 (2), 265 – 273 (2017)
- [14] Nasehpour, P., On the Anderson-Badawi  $\omega_{R[[X]]}(I[[X]]) = \omega_R(I)$  conjecture, *Arch. Math.*, Brno, 52 (2), 71 – 78 (2016)
- [15] Querré, J., idéaux divisoriels d'un anneau de polynômes, *J. Algebra*, 64, 270 – 284 (1980)
- [16] Tsang, H., Gauss lemma, Ph. D thesis, University of Chicago, (1965)